United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,948	09/10/2003	Timothy A. Hovanec	P 0294309 081289	7889
7590 05/25/2007 Pillsbury Winthrop LLP			EXAMINER	
Intellectual Property Group Suite 2800 725 So. Figueroa Street			MARX, IRENE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5406			1651	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

` .	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Community	10/659,948	HOVANEC, TIMOTHY A.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Irene Marx	1651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	`					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Ap	oril 2007.	,				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,7,16,18,20 and 21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-4, 6-7, 16, 18 and 20-21</u> is/are reject	cted.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Vion Common	4-6 D N- (M-1) D-1				
PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Office Act	tion Summary Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail Date 20070521				

Application/Control Number: 10/659,948

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed 4/2/07 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-4, 6-7, 16, 18 and 20-21 are being considered on the merits.

Claims 1-4, 6-7, 16, 18 and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first and second paragraphs, as the claimed invention is not described in such full, clear, concise and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to make and use the same, and/or for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims 1, 16, 18, and 20 are missing the critical step of culturing or growing the bacterial cells in order to oxidize ammonia to nitrite in the process as claimed. It is submitted that merely providing for "maintaining" cells fails to achieve the preamble of "alleviating or preventing the accumulation of ammonia", unless the aquarium is merely filled with water.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

The correlation between the graph presented and the instant invention cannot be readily assessed. There is no indication as to the bacterial cells used or the environmental context in which they were cultured. That "Tank 4A" was used is not informative as to the nutritional content of the tank. The label "concentration" on the y-axis does not specify the material measured. How are the cells "maintained"? No information is presented to this effect.

In response to Applicant's argument that That Example 9 describes conditions to maintain the strains' growth or culture, applicant misinterprets the principle that claims are interpreted in the light of the specification. Although these elements (growth and culture) are found as examples or embodiments in the specification, they were not claimed explicitly. Nor were the words that are used in the claims defined in the specification to require these limitations. A reading of the specification provides no evidence to indicate that these limitations must be imported into the claims to give meaning to disputed terms. Clearly, "maintain" cannot be

Art Unit: 1651

equated with "growth" in the context of the instant invention, even when interpreting the claims in light of the specification, since no significant bioconversion is expected to occur solely by "maintaining" in the absence of nutrients for the cells. Bacterial cells may be maintained in dormant status under certain circumstances in the absence of growth and metabolism. There is no indication in the invention as claimed that the aquarium contains fish, for example.

Therefore the rejection is deemed proper and it is adhered to.

No claim is allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Irene Marx whose telephone number is (571) 272-0919. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-3:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Irene Marx
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1651

here may